1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	
4	NTS SERVICES CORP.)
	-vs-
5	GALLATIN RIVER COMMUNICATIONS, LLC) DOCKET NO
	d/b/a CenturyLink) 12-0116
6)
)
7	Formal complaint and request for)
	declaratory ruling pursuant to)
8	Sections 13-515 and 10-108 of tile)
	Illinois Public Utilities Act.)
9	
10	Tuesday, January 8, 2013
11	Springfield, Illinois
12	
	Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	JAN VON QUALEN, ALJ
16	APPEARANCES:
17	EDWARD D. McNAMARA, JR.
	931 S. Fourth Street
18	Springfield, Illinois 62703
19	(Appearing on behalf of NTS
	Services Corp. via
20	teleconference.)
21	L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC, by
	Laurel Patkes, Reporter
22	CSR #084-001340

1	APPEARANCES: (CONT'D.)
2	KRISTOPHER E. TWOMEY
	LAW OFFICE of KRISTOPHER E. TWOMEY
3	1725 I Street, NW
	Suite 300
4	Washington, D.C. 20006
5	(Appearing on behalf of NTS
	Services Corp. via
6	teleconference.)
7	JOSEPH D. MURPHY
	306 W. Church Street
8	Champaign, Illinois 61820
9	-and-
10	THOMAS DETHLEFS
	1801 California Street
11	10th Floor
	Denver, Colorado 80202
12	
	(Appearing on behalf of Gallatin
13	River Communications, LLC d/b/a
	CenturyLink via teleconference.)
L4	
	KELLY ARMSTRONG
15	MICHAEL LANNON
	160 N. LaSalle
L6	Suite C-800
	Chicago, Illinois 60601
L7	
	(Appearing on behalf of staff of
18	the Illinois Commerce Commission
1.0	via teleconference.)
19	
20	
21	
·. /.	

1		INDEX	
2			
	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS
3			
	None		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
		EXHIBITS	
14			
	None.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

1 PROCEEDINGS

- JUDGE VON QUALEN: By the authority vested in
- me by the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 4 Docket No. 12-0116. This docket concerns the
- 5 complaint filed by NTS Services Corp against Gallatin
- 6 River Communications LLC doing business as
- ⁷ CenturyLink. The complaint was filed pursuant to
- 8 Section 9-250, Sections 10-101 and 108, and Sections
- 9 13-514 and 515 of the Illinois Public Utilities Act.
- May I have the appearances for the
- record, first on behalf of the complainant?
- MR. TWOMEY: On behalf of NTS, this is
- 13 Christopher Twomey.
- MR. McNAMARA: Judge, Edward D. McNamara, Jr.
- on behalf of NTS.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: Thank you.
- And on behalf of the respondent?
- MR. DETHLEFS: Your Honor, Tom Dethlefs on
- behalf of CenturyLink.
- MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, Joseph D. Murphy also
- on behalf of CenturyLink.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: And for staff?

- MS. ARMSTRONG: Kelly Armstrong and Michael
- 2 Lannon on behalf of staff.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: Are there any others wishing
- 4 to enter an appearance?
- 5 Let the record show no response.
- The parties have agreed to waive the
- ⁷ Section 13-515 time limits in this case, so there is
- ⁸ no case deadline.
- Since we last met, I received
- complainant's testimony which was filed on June 19,
- ¹¹ 2012.
- I also received respondent's testimony
- filed September 28, 2012 and staff's testimony filed
- ¹⁴ November 19, 2012.
- 15 I received a motion filed by NTS
- seeking an extension of time to file rebuttal
- testimony on December 27th asking for until
- January 7, 2013, and yesterday, January 7th, I did
- 19 receive NTS's rebuttal testimony.
- I also received yesterday a motion to
- strike a portion of NTS's direct testimony which was
- filed by CenturyLink.

- I have received no objections to the
- ² request for an extension of time to file rebuttal
- testimony, and it's now been filed. So that motion
- 4 is granted.
- 5 Then I believe the purpose of today's
- 6 hearing is for scheduling, and it seems to me that
- ⁷ the first thing on the schedule would be the
- 8 responses and replies on the motion to strike that
- 9 was filed yesterday.
- MR. DETHLEFS: Your Honor, this is Tom
- 11 Dethlefs.
- We had hoped that we would be able to
- include any objections we had to the rebuttal
- testimony as well in the motion, but since it wasn't
- filed until yesterday, we did not. We have not gone
- through it carefully enough to determine whether we
- have a similar motion with respect to the rebuttal
- testimony, but we would be able to do that perhaps
- within the next ten days or so.
- So what I would propose as far as the
- 21 schedule goes is set the time for NTS to respond and
- give us a little time to raise any objection we have

- to the rebuttal testimony if that's okay with the
- ² court.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: Is your proposal that you
- 4 would go ahead and file any additional motion to
- 5 strike before NTS responded to the one you filed
- 6 yesterday?
- MR. DETHLEFS: Yes, that would be our proposal.
- MR. TWOMEY: That's fine with NTS.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: All right. Mr. Dethlefs,
- how much time would you like for that?
- MR. DETHLEFS: Normally I would just ask for
- seven days, but I'm going to be traveling the rest of
- this week, so if I could have until the end of next
- week which would be the 18th.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: Does anyone have any
- objection to that?
- MR. TWOMEY: None from NTS.
- MS. ARMSTRONG: None from staff.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: All right then. CenturyLink
- will have until January 18, 2013 to file any
- 21 additional motion to strike which could address the
- rebuttal testimony filed by NTS yesterday.

- And would the parties like to go ahead
- and set a schedule for responses and replies on the
- motion or motions to strike?
- MR. TWOMEY: NTS can reply on the 25th.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: And that would be if a
- 6 second motion to strike is filed on the 18th?
- 7 MR. TWOMEY: Correct.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: And would staff intend to
- 9 weigh in, and if so, how much time would staff need
- 10 for a response?
- MR. LANNON: Your Honor, if we weigh in, we can
- also file something on the 25th too.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: So we will set responses to
- the motion or motions to strike for January 25, 2013.
- And then, Mr. Dethlefs, would you like
- an opportunity or would your client like an
- opportunity to reply to the responses?
- MR. DETHLEFS: Yes, if we could. I'm not sure
- we will file a reply, but if we could have the option
- of doing it, that would be great.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: How much time would you like
- for a reply?

- MR. DETHLEFS: If I could have a week to do
- that. So the 1st of February?
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: All right. And then I think
- 4 probably the pragmatic approach would be to give me
- 5 some time to rule on the motion or motions to strike
- and then set another status at which time we would
- ⁷ prepare for the evidentiary hearing.
- Does anybody have any objection to
- ⁹ going with that?
- MR. DETHLEFS: No objection from CenturyLink.
- MR. TWOMEY: None from NTS either.
- MS. ARMSTRONG: None from staff.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: Well, let's set this for a
- status hearing maybe the first week of March.
- Would March 5th work for the parties?
- MS. ARMSTRONG: Yes.
- MR. DETHLEFS: That works for CenturyLink.
- MR. MURPHY: I'll be out of town that day but I
- don't think I'm that important.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: Would 9:30 a.m. work?
- MS. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I have another
- status at 10 a.m. I just wouldn't want it to run

- ¹ over.
- 2 Could we do it at 11?
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: Yes, but it's not going to
- 4 take more than a half hour. We're simply going to
- 5 set up a schedule for the evidentiary hearing. It
- 6 should be relatively brief.
- MS. ARMSTRONG: Okay. So you're just going to
- ⁸ rule on the motions, and then we'll set a status.
- 9 You're not going to be taking comments or any type of
- argument or anything on the motion at that time?
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: No. I expect that the
- argument and comments on the motion should be
- contained within the responses and the replies.
- MS. ARMSTRONG: Okay.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: I would anticipate that I
- will have sent out a ruling in advance of the status
- hearing, and at the status hearing, parties will be
- ready to say if the evidentiary hearing will be the
- next thing that we do and when would be a good date
- for that. That's what I anticipate at the status
- hearing.
- MS. ARMSTRONG: Okay. Then that's fine. If

- it's going to be brief, 9:30 works for staff.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: Is there anything else we
- need to discuss this morning?
- 4 MR. DETHLEFS: Your Honor, CenturyLink will be
- ⁵ glad to provide a conference bridge for that status
- 6 call.
- JUDGE VON QUALEN: I appreciate that. Thank
- 8 you.
- 9 All right then. If there's nothing
- further, then the schedule we set today is that
- 11 CenturyLink will have until January 18 to file any
- additional motion to strike addressing the rebuttal
- testimony of the complainant.
- NTS will have until January 25, 2013.
- NTS and staff will have until January 25 to file
- responses to the motion or motions to strike.
- 17 CenturyLink will have until
- February 1, 2013 to file any reply to the responses.
- We're continuing this matter to
- March 5, 2013 at 9:30 a.m. Telephone participation
- will be allowed, and thank you to CenturyLink for
- offering to provide a link.

1				With	that,	the	matter	is	cont	inued	d.
2	Thank	you	all	•							
3					(Whe	ereup	on the	hea	ring	y was	
4					cont	cinue	ed to M	arch	5,	2013	at
5					9:30	o a.m	n.)				
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											